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#### Abstract

Structure-activity relationships were investigated on the tricyclic dihydropyridine (DHP) $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ openers 9-(3-bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (6) and 10-(3-bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-9,10-dihydro- $1 H, 8 H$-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (65). Substitution off the core of the DHP, absolute stereochemistry, and aromatic substitution were evaluated for $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel activity using Ltk - cells stably transfected with the Kir6.2/SUR2B exon $17-$ splice variant and in an electrically stimulated pig bladder strip assay. A select group of compounds was evaluated for in vitro inhibition of spontaneous bladder contractions. Several compounds were found to have the unique characteristic of partial efficacy in both the cell-based and electrically stimulated bladder strip assays but full efficacy in inhibiting spontaneous bladder strip contractions. For compound 23b, this profile was mirrored in vivo where it was fully efficacious in inhibiting spontaneous myogenic bladder contractions but only partially able to reduce neurogenically mediated reflex bladder contractions.


## Introduction

Overactive bladder (OAB) is a symptomatic diagnosis and is defined as the urgency to urinate with or without urge incontinence. OAB is usually accompanied by frequency and nocturia. The etiology of OAB has been linked to detrusor overactivity, which is characterized by involuntary detrusor contractions during the filling phase. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{OAB}$ has been reported to result from both myogenic and neurogenic origins. ${ }^{2,3}$ The myogenic component is hypothesized to result from a reduction in the activity of the efferent nerves of the bladder. This reduction in efferent activity leads to alterations in the properties of the detrusor, which include increases in detrusor excitability and increases in the electrical coupling of the smooth muscle cells throughout the bladder wall. Many detrusor strips from unstable bladders show abnormal spontaneous mechanical activity. The neurogenic component is believed to result from damage to central inhibitory pathways or sensitization of peripheral afferent nerves of the bladder, both of which can unmask primitive voiding reflexes that trigger bladder overactivity.

ATP-sensitive potassium ( $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ ) channels ${ }^{4}$ have been found in many tissues including smooth muscle in the vasculature and the bladder. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers ${ }^{6}$ relax smooth muscle by increasing the permeability of the cell membrane to potassium ions, which results in hyperpolarization, decreased $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ influx, and inhibition of contraction. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers may be useful in the treatment of $O A B$ because they have the potential to eliminate undesired bladder contractions during the filling phase by stabilizing the smooth muscle without affecting normal micturition. ${ }^{7}$ For example, the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel opener cromakalim
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Figure 1.
(2) was shown to inhibit spontaneous contractions in vivo in pigs without compromising normal voiding. ${ }^{8}$

The $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers ZD6169 (1) ${ }^{9}$ and cromakalim (2) ${ }^{10}$ have been evaluated clinically for OAB (Figure 1). ZD6169 was further evaluated but subsequently withdrawn from phase II trials perhaps because of an unfavorable side effect/efficacy profile ${ }^{11}$ that may have included hypotensive effects. Recently, other $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers such as WAY-133537 ${ }^{12}$ (3) and A- $278637{ }^{13}$ (4) have been reported to have selectivity for bladder versus cardiovascular effects in preclinical models.

We have recently published on a variety of tricyclic dihydropyridine (DHP) $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers, ${ }^{14-17}$ several of which

Table 1. Substitutions to Compound 6 and Activity at Kir6.2/Sur2B $17-\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ Channels ${ }^{a}$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{a}$ Number of observations is $\geq 3$, unless otherwise specified. Values are expressed as the average $\mathrm{pEC}_{50} \pm$ the standard error of the mean (SEM). The efficacy (in parentheses) is the average maximum response of each compound expressed as \% relative to 5. ${ }^{b}$ Regiochemistry is reported as either cis or trans and is based on compounds 7, 12, and 17, the structures of which were confirmed by X-ray. ${ }^{c} n=2 .{ }^{d}$ Absolute stereochemistry confirmed by X-ray: 6a is $(R)$-stereochemistry and 21a is $(R)$-stereochemistry at the benzylic position. ${ }^{e} n=1 .{ }^{f}$ Absolute stereochemistry of 3-fluoro-4-iodo analogue of compound 17b confirmed by X-ray to be $(S)$-stereochemistry.
were shown to have greater potency for inhibiting spontaneous bladder contractions relative to their potencies to inhibit contractions elicited by electrical stimulation. In vitro selectivity for relaxing spontaneous bladder contractions over electrically stimulated bladder contractions may be predictive of in vivo selectivity for suppressing unstable bladder contractions versus normal micturition and/or cardiovascular effects. We were interested in exploring the effects of substitution on the DHP core with the objective of finding compounds that were even more selective for spontaneous versus electrically stimulated contractions. The tricyclic DHP $\mathbf{6},{ }^{17}$ a potent $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel opener, was chosen as a scaffold. Compound 6 was attractive
from a synthetic point of view because derivatives of $\mathbf{6}$ with substitutions at positions A, B, and C (see Table 1) could be readily synthesized. Additionally, the symmetrical bis-pyran, compound $\mathbf{6 5}$, was also amenable to substitution, and derivatives of this compound were evaluated. We describe herein our findings.

## Chemistry

The Hantzsch reaction was used to synthesize the substituted tricyclic DHPs described herein. An appropriate carbonylcontaining substrate selected from $\mathbf{c 1}-\mathbf{c 1 2}$ (Figure 2) and an enamine selected from e1-e17 (Figure 3) were reacted in EtOH at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide a DHP (method A, Scheme 1). In the
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Figure 2. Carbonyl monomers: c1, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{c 2}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathbf{c 3}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ di-Me; c4, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Et} ; \mathbf{c 5}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{Et} ; \mathbf{c 6}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}-$.


Figure 3. Enamine monomers: e1, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$; e2, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}$; e3, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ di-Me; e4, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Et} ; \mathbf{e 5}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{e} 6, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathbf{e} 7, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{Me} ; \mathbf{e 8}, \mathrm{R}_{3}$ $=\mathrm{Et} ; \mathbf{e} 9, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Pr} ; \mathbf{e 1 0}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe} ; \mathbf{e 1 1}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=i-\mathrm{Pr} ; \mathbf{e} 12, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ $n-\mathrm{Bu} ; \mathbf{e 1 3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2} ; \mathbf{e} 14, \mathrm{R}_{3}=n-\mathrm{Pn} ; \mathbf{e} 15, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$.

Scheme $\mathbf{1}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (i) $\mathrm{EtOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) 0.5 equiv of 1 M HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$.

## Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (i) pyridinium tribromide/pyridine, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; (ii) NBS, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; (iii) $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; (iv) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.
synthesis of compounds 70-78, an intermediate believed to be a hemiaminal was observed in the reaction. Treatment of this mixture with 0.5 equiv of 1 M HCl resulted in a smooth
conversion to the DHP (method B, Scheme 1). In the case where both the enamine and the carbonyl were cyclic, a tricyclic DHP was directly formed in the reaction. In the case where either the enamine or the carbonyl was acyclic, an intermediate bicyclic DHP ester was formed. These bicyclic esters were cyclized via a bromo intermediate to the lactone or the lactam as shown in Scheme 2. In the synthesis of thiopyrans $\mathbf{5 3}$ and $\mathbf{5 4}$, the sequence in Scheme 2 was troublesome presumably because of bromination of the sulfur. Enamine e17 and carbonyl c9 were therefore used to generate these thio analogues directly via Scheme 1.

The syntheses of pyrandione carbonyls $\mathbf{c 1}-\mathbf{c} 6$ and enamines $\mathbf{e 1} \mathbf{- e 4}$ are shown in Scheme 3. By use of chemistry similar to that described by Morgan et al., ${ }^{18}$ pyrandiones c1-c6, were generated in three steps from appropriately substituted propargyl alcohols. Pyrandiones c2-c6 were used in the Hantzsch reaction to synthesize DHPs with substitutions at positions A and E in Tables 1 and 3, respectively. The synthesis of DHPs with substitution at position B in Table 1 or position F in Table 3 required the use of enamines $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{2 - \mathbf { e }} \mathbf{4}$ in which the substituent is locked into position. Enamines e2-e4 were generated from pyrandiones c2-c4, respectively. As shown in Scheme 3, treatment of a substituted pyrandione in EtOH with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ provided a mixture of vinyl ethers in approximately a $2: 1$ ratio in which the minor isomer contains the substituent in the position adjacent to the ethoxy group. This minor isomer was isolated by chromatography and smoothly converted with ammonia in ethanol to enamines e2-e4.

In Scheme 4, 3-ethoxy-2-cyclopenten-1-one was alkylated as described by Curran. ${ }^{19}$ Similar to Scheme 3, the resulting vinyl ether was isomerized to a mixture of isomers. The desired minor isomer, 3-ethoxy-4-ethyl-cyclopent-2-enone, was isolated by chromatography and smoothly converted to enamine e16. In Scheme 5, ethyl-substituted tetronic acid, generated in three steps from diethyl malonate, was treated similarly to c2-c4 to provide the vinyl ether that required relatively harsh conditions to provide a moderate yield of enamine el1. ${ }^{20}$

The substituted tricyclic DHPs were obtained from Schemes 1 and 2 as a mixture of cis and trans isomers, which were separated by crystallization and/or chromatography. In general, the cis isomers were more crystalline and more polar on silica gel than the corresponding trans isomers. Enantiomeric purification of individual isomers was accomplished using chiral chromatography. In the case of the compounds in Table 1, the enantiomers of the cis isomers were more easily separated than the trans. In particular, the enantiomers of the trans $n-\operatorname{Pr}$ derivative, 23, were inseparable by chiral chromatography in our hands whereas the enantiomers of the cis $n$ - $\operatorname{Pr}$ derivative, 22, were easily separable. This problem was circumvented by using the finding that cis isomers of lactone-containing DHPs such as 22a could be isomerized to a mixture of cis and trans isomers with retention of configuration of the carbon adjacent to the aromatic ring. As can be seen in Scheme 6, heating a solution of 22a in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in EtOH resulted in the formation of a mixture of 23a and 22a that were separated by chromatography.

## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (i) NaH , THF; $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; (iii) $\mathrm{KO}-t$ - Bu , Et 2 O , HO- $t$-Bu; (iv) catalytic $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$, EtOH; (v) chromatography; (vi) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH}$.

Table 2. Modifications to Ring Systems of Substituted Compound 6 and Activity at Kir6.2/Sur2B 17- K Katp Channels ${ }^{a}$


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { side } \\ & \text { ring } \end{aligned}$ | Z | D | isomer ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cm} \\ & (\% \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{pEC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 0 | Et <br> Et | cis <br> trans | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.25 \pm 0.10(87) \\ & 6.60 \pm 0.15(83) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $0$ $\mathrm{O}$ | Et <br> Et | cis trans | 49 <br> 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.22 \pm 0.19(94)^{c} \\ & 7.14 \pm 0.16(74)^{c} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | Et <br> Et | cis <br> trans | $51$ $52$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.49 \pm 0.32(71)^{c} \\ & 5.30 \pm 0.10(54)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0 0 | Et Et | cis trans | 53 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.81 \pm 0.34(74)^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & 6.99 \pm 0.18(15)^{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O}_{\bullet, ~ O}^{O} \\ \stackrel{S}{S} \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 0 0 | Me Me | cis trans | 55 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.87 \pm 0.11(93)^{\text {c }} \\ & 6.39 \pm 0.03(86)^{c} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0 0 | Me Me | cis trans | 57 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.25 \pm 0.02(102)^{c} \\ & 6.65 \pm 0.02(99)^{c} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}$ | Me | cis | 59 | $8.56 \pm 0.08(102)^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | N-Me | Me | trans | 60 | $6.50 \pm 0.03$ (92) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}$ | Et | cis | 61 | $<5^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | N-Me | Et | trans | 62 | $<5^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Et | cis | 63 | $8.93 \pm 0.01(88)^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Et | trans | 64 | $6.29 \pm 0.15$ (89) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Number of observations is $\geq 3$, unless otherwise specified. Values are expressed as the average $\mathrm{pEC}_{50} \pm$ the standard error of the mean (SEM). The efficacy (in parentheses) is the average maximum response of each compound expressed as \% relative to 5. ${ }^{b}$ Regiochemistry based on polarity on silica gel and similarity of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra to compounds in Table 1. ${ }^{c} n=2$.

The relative and absolute stereochemistry of select compounds was confirmed by X-ray analysis. The racemates 7, 12, and $\mathbf{1 7}$ were all found to possess cis configuration. The single enanti-
omers 6a, 21a, 17b, ${ }^{21}$ and 75b were found to possess $(R)$-, $(R)$-, $(S)$-, and ( $S$ )-stereochemistry at the benzylic positions, respectively. The assignment of cis vs trans for other derivatives was based on similarity of NMR spectra and the relative polarity on silica gel to the compounds whose structures were identified by X-ray analysis. Absolute stereochemistry of compounds of similar structure was assumed based on the order of elution from the chiral columns and the signs of rotation.

## Biological Evaluation

Fluorometric Imaging Plate Reader (FLIPR) Membrane Potential Assay. Compounds were evaluated for potassium channel opening activity using Ltk - cells stably transfected with the Kir6.2/SUR2B exon 17- splice variant. ${ }^{22}$ Functional activity at potassium channels was measured by evaluating changes in membrane potential using DIBAC dye in a 96-well cell-based kinetic assay system. Changes in fluorescence were measured by comparison to the effect elicited by 5. ${ }^{23}$ The maximal response of each compound is expressed as \% relative to 5. The observed effects were reversed by glyburide, confirming a $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ mechanism. Inhibition of $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel activity by sulfonylurea $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ATP}}$ channel blockers such as glyburide has been used to define $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channels. ${ }^{24}$ The average reversal induced by glyburide in the FLIPR assay for compounds described herein was $65 \%$. This is in line with what we have observed for standard $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers such as compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{5}$, which have an average reversal induced by glyburide of $64 \%$.

Field-Stimulated Landrace Pig Detrusor (FSLPD) Assay. ${ }^{25}$ Compounds were evaluated for bladder $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ATP}}$ activity using tissue strips from Landrace pig bladders. Low-frequency stimulation $(0.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{~ms}$ at 20 V$)$ produced a stable twitch response, the amplitude of which was reduced by increasing concentrations of test agents. These field-stimulated contractions have both cholinergic and noncholinergic components and are partially sensitive to muscarinic blockers such as tolterodine.

Spontaneous Landrace Pig Detrusor (SLPD) Assay. ${ }^{26}$ Spontaneously contracting bladder strips were obtained from the area closer to the trigonal region of the bladder in Landrace pigs. The reduction of the area under the curve (AUC) by increasing concentrations of test agents was measured. These spontaneous contractions are purely of myogenic origin, have no cholinergic component, and thus are insensitive to the effects of muscarinic blockers such as tolterodine. Concentrationresponse curves were generated for each agent with the potency expressed as the $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$. Confirmation of a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ATP}}$ mechanism was demonstrated for all compounds by reversal of the bladder relaxant effect following addition of glyburide at the end of each experiment. Because of the different sensitivities of FSLPD and LPD to muscarinic antagonists, each may model bladder overactivity of distinct etiology.

The DHPs described in this publication are structurally related to 1,4-dihydropyridine L-type calcium channel ligands such as nifedipine. Close analogues of the DHPs described in this publication have been tested in radioligand binding and functional studies and were shown to not interact with L-type calcium channels. ${ }^{13 a, 27}$

## Results

Potassium Channel Opening Activity. Substitutions were initially made at positions A, B, and C of the DHP core of compound 6 (see Table 1). At position A, the cis and trans Me analogues, 7 and $\mathbf{8}$, had reduced activity relative to $\mathbf{6}$ and were equipotent with each other. Interestingly, the di-Me derivative, 9, picked up activity compared to the mono-Me analogues and

Table 3. Substitutions to Compound $\mathbf{6 5}$ and Activity at Kir6.2/Sur2B $17-\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ Channels ${ }^{a}$


| E | F | X, Y | isomer ${ }^{\text {b,c }}$ | racemate | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pEC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\% \text { efficacy }) \end{gathered}$ | $(+)$-enantiomer | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pEC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\% \text { efficacy }) \end{gathered}$ | (-)-enantiomer | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pEC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM} \\ (\% \text { efficacy }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | H | F, Br |  | 65 | $7.56 \pm 0.16$ (87) |  |  |  |  |
| di-Me | H | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Br}$ |  | 66 | $7.77 \pm 0.13$ (96) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| di-Me | H | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Br}$ |  | $67^{b}$ | $5.41 \pm 0.32(61)^{d}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | H | F, Br |  | 68 | $<5^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| di-Et | H | F, Br |  | 69 | 4.86 (42) ${ }^{e}$ |  |  |  |  |
| H | Et | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Br}$ | cis | 70 | $7.85 \pm 0.10$ (60) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 70a | $6.78 \pm 0.10$ (87) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 70b | $7.70 \pm 0.13$ (94) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| H | Et | F, Br | trans | 71 | $6.73 \pm 0.06$ (30) | 71a | $6.77 \pm 0.34$ (40) | 71b | $<5^{d}$ |
| H | Et | F, Cl | trans | 72 | $6.34 \pm 0.01(30)^{d}$ | 72a | $5.44 \pm 0.10$ (66) ${ }^{d}$ | 72b | $<5^{d}$ |
| H | Et | F, I | trans | 73 | $4.92 \pm 0.07$ (47) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 73a | $5.89 \pm 0.02(69)^{d}$ | 73b | $5.53 \pm 0.13$ (53) ${ }^{d}$ |
| H | Et | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | trans | 74 | $6.98 \pm 0.12(58)^{d}$ | 74a | $6.33 \pm 0.25(118)^{d}$ | 74b | $6.77 \pm 0.18$ (57) ${ }^{d}$ |
| H | Et | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ | trans | 75 | $5.79 \pm 0.05(70)^{d}$ | 75a | $6.12 \pm 0.07$ (89) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 75b ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $5.26 \pm 0.03(54)^{d}$ |
| H | Et | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | trans | 76 | $6.00 \pm 0.05(46)^{d}$ | 76a | $5.79 \pm 0.23$ (76) ${ }^{d}$ | 76b | $6.61 \pm 0.31(37)^{d}$ |
| H | Et | $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br}$ | trans | 77 | $6.87 \pm 0.06(76)^{d}$ | 77a | $6.51 \pm 0.13(120){ }^{d}$ | 77b | $6.37 \pm 0.14(104)^{d}$ |
| H | Et | $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Br}$ | trans | 78 | $5.8 \pm 00.16(70)^{d}$ | 78a | $6.14 \pm 0.06$ (94) ${ }^{d}$ | 78b | $5.25 \pm 0.07(56)^{d}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Number of observations is $\geq 3$, unless otherwise specified. Values are expressed as the average $\mathrm{pEC}_{50} \pm$ the standard error of the mean (SEM). The efficacy (in parentheses) is the average maximum response of each compound expressed as \% relative to 5. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{G}=$ hydrogen for all compounds except compound $\mathbf{6 7}$, where $\mathrm{G}=$ di-Me. ${ }^{c}$ Regiochemistry based on similarity to compound 75b that was confirmed by X-ray to be trans with absolute $(S)$ stereochemistry at the benzylic position. ${ }^{d} n=2$. ${ }^{e} n=1$.

## Scheme $\mathbf{4}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (i) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; EtI, HMPA, THF; (ii) catalytic $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) chromatography; (iv) concentrated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.
was even slightly more potent than compound 6 . Evaluation of the enantiomers of 9 revealed a 2 orders of magnitude difference in potencies. This is in contrast to compounds $6-\mathbf{8}$ where there was only less than a 10 -fold difference in potencies between their respective enantiomers. The mono-Et analogues, 10 and 11, were less potent.

Methyl substitution at position B revealed a large difference in activity between the isomers, with the cis analogue $\mathbf{1 2}$ being approximately 50 -fold more potent than the trans $\mathbf{1 3}$. In contrast to substitution at position A, the di-Me derivative at position B, compound $\mathbf{1 4}$, lost activity compared with compound $\mathbf{6}$. The Et analogues $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 16, although less potent than the corresponding Me analogues, followed the trend of cis being more potent than trans.

Because of the relative ease of synthesis of enamines of structures e5-e15, substitution at position C was explored most thoroughly. Similar to what was observed in substitution at position B, the cis Me derivative, 17, was over 2 orders of magnitude more potent than the trans isomer, 18. Evaluation of the enantiomers of $\mathbf{1 7}$ revealed that $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ was 50 -fold more potent than its antipode. The potency of dimethyl derivative 19 was between the potency of the cis and trans monomethyl analogues. Evaluation of the Et analogues 20 and 21 revealed that, as expected, the cis derivative 20 was more potent. Interestingly, the trans isomer 21 possessed partial agonist activity ${ }^{28}$ with only $40 \%$ efficacy relative to 5 . The more potent enantiomer of $\mathbf{2 1}$, compound $\mathbf{2 1 b}$, retained the partial agonist
activity of the racemate. The cis and trans $n$ - Pr derivatives, $\mathbf{2 2}$ and 23, had the same pattern of potency and efficacy as $\mathbf{2 0}$ and 21.

Further SAR was conducted at position C. The $i-\operatorname{Pr}$ derivatives 26 and 27 were inactive in the membrane potential assay. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ derivatives, compounds 24 and 25 , were similar in potency relative to the Et and $n$ - $\operatorname{Pr}$ derivatives but were fully efficacious. Although the $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ values of the cis isomers of $n$ - Bu and $i$ - Bu , compounds 28 and 30, remained $>7$, larger groups were found to be less potent. Several acids and esters were also examined, but these compounds all lost activity ( $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ <6) (compounds not shown).

We also investigated the effects of simultaneous substitutions. As was seen with derivatives 9 and 14 , the analogues with A $=\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Et}$ (compounds 35 and 36 ) were more potent than the analogues with $\mathrm{B}=$ di-Me and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Et}$ (compounds 37 and 38). A slight increase in potency for compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ over 20 was observed. Although less potent than compound 21b, compound $\mathbf{3 6 b}$, with the same stereochemistry at position C , also showed partial agonist activity.

To better understand the structural features conferring partial agonist activity to compounds such as 21b and 23b, we evaluated a variety of aromatic modifications on derivatives possessing trans $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ substitution at C (compounds 39-46). Most derivatives lost potency relative to 23b. Somewhat surprisingly, only the 4-F,3-Cl substitution retained partial agonist activity (compound 39b).

To further explore the SAR of the substituted tricyclic series, different ring systems were explored (Table 2). As was seen in Table 1, the cis isomers were approximately 10 -fold more potent than the trans isomers. Relative to compounds 20 and 21, the corresponding cyclohexanone derivatives, compounds 47 and 48, lost potency and were fully efficacious. Replacement of the pyran with the $\gamma$-lactone (compounds 49 and $\mathbf{5 0}$ ) resulted in a slight boost in potency, whereas the $\delta$-lactone derivatives, $\mathbf{5 1}$ and 52, lost potency by 10 -fold. The cis thiopyran, 53, lost potency relative to $\mathbf{2 0}$, but the trans analogue, 54, demonstrated

Scheme $5^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \Delta$; diethyl malonate, $\Delta$; $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \Delta ; 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2$-bromobutyryl bromide; $\Delta$; (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, toluene; (iii) aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, then concentrated HCl ; (iv) catalyst $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (v) $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Scheme $\mathbf{6}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (i) $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}, \Delta, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.
a very low efficacy of $15 \%$. The sulfone derivatives, compounds $\mathbf{5 5} \mathbf{5 8}$, all lost potency relative to the corresponding pyran derivatives. Modifications to the lactone ring were also explored. Replacement of the oxygen of the lactone with a $N$-Me led to compounds with potencies similar to the potencies of $\mathbf{1 7}$ and 18 in the case where $\mathrm{D}=\operatorname{Me}(59$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ ) but were inactive in the case of $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{Et}(\mathbf{6 1}$ and $\mathbf{6 2})$. Replacement of the oxygen of the lactone with the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group led to over a 10 -fold boost in potency in the case of the cis isomer $\mathbf{6 3}$ compared to lactone 20.

The symmetrical pyran DHP, compound 65, was also amenable to substitution (see Table 3). Di-Me substitution at position E, compound $\mathbf{6 6}$, led to retention of activity relative to 65, but larger groups (compounds 68 and 69) at E and di-Me substitution at both E and G (compound 67) led to a significant loss of activity. We investigated the effects of Et substitution at position F of $\mathbf{6 5}$. Similar to the derivatives of compound $\mathbf{6}$ in Table 1, the cis derivative, $\mathbf{7 0}$, was more potent than the trans, 71. Compound 71 was separated into its enantiomers, and compound 71a, like the racemate 71, possessed reduced efficacy.

To investigate the significance of aromatic substitution on the partial agonism of compound 71, derivatives of compound 71 were evaluated. Partial agonism was observed with the $4-\mathrm{Cl}, 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $4-\mathrm{Br}, 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ derivatives (compounds 74b and 76b, respectively). Interestingly, compound 71a is an outlier in terms of absolute stereochemistry, having opposite stereochemistry $((R)$-stereochemistry at the benzylic position) from the other compounds possessing partial agonist activity in FLIPR, namely, 21b, 36b, 23b, 39b, 74b, and 76b.

The impact of aromatic substitution on the partial agonism of compound $\mathbf{7 1}$ diverged from that of compound 23, wherein only the smaller $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}$ analogue retained partial agonistic activity. This disparity, along with the lack of partial agonism in the analogues described in Table 2, indicates that the structural requirements for partial agonism by DHPs are specific and vary between different DHP cores.

From the above SAR, substitution on the tricyclic DHP core was most allowed at positions adjacent to the NH of the molecule where longer chains up to $n$-Bu retained $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel opening activity. Increasing the steric bulk near the NH of the molecule, for example, the $i$ - $\operatorname{Pr}$ derivatives 26 and 27, as well as the di-Me derivative 14, resulted in a loss of activity. Dimethyl substitution next to the carbonyl maintained or slightly

Table 4. Functional $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ATP}}$ Activity in Isolated Bladder Strips

|  | $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}(\% \text { efficacy })^{a}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| compd | $\mathrm{FSLPD}^{b}$ | $\mathrm{SLPD}^{c}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $5.56 \pm 0.13(99)$ | $6.53 \pm 0.32(100)$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $6.59 \pm 0.19(99)$ | $7.46 \pm 0.20(100)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $6.17 \pm 0.13(94)$ | $6.99 \pm 0.06(100)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $7.07 \pm 0.10(99)$ | $7.66 \pm 0.16(100)$ |
| $\mathbf{6 a}$ | $6.23 \pm 0.14(95)$ |  |
| 6b | $6.67 \pm 0.05(88)$ | $9.17 \pm 0.04(100)^{d}$ |
| 10a | $5.57 \pm 0.18(86)^{d}$ |  |
| 10b | $6.05 \pm 0.39(95)^{d}$ |  |
| 17a | $7.45 \pm 0.31(97)$ |  |
| 21 | $6.31 \pm 0.37(88)^{e}$ |  |
| 21a | $5.53 \pm 0.03(94)$ |  |
| 21b | $6.55 \pm 0.07(77)$ |  |
| 23 | $6.10 \pm 0.10(90)$ |  |
| 23b | $6.29 \pm 0.26(34)$ |  |
| 26 | $5.92 \pm 0.02(97)^{d}$ |  |
| 29b | $5.78 \pm 0.22(51)$ |  |
| 30 | $6.89 \pm 0.38(74)$ |  |
| 31b | $6.99 \pm 0.17(35)^{d}$ |  |
| 34 | $5.89 \pm 0.24(91)$ |  |
| 39b | $6.89 \pm 0.17(66)$ |  |
| 41b | $6.64 \pm 0.35(86)$ |  |
| 54 | $6.05 \pm 0.24(100)^{e}$ |  |
| 54b | $6.02 \pm 0.11(38)$ |  |
| 65 | $6.90 \pm 0.13(97)$ |  |
| 71a | $5.69 \pm 0.19(54)$ | $6.89 \pm 0.34(98)$ |
| 74b | $5.64 \pm 0.34(41)^{e}$ | $7.26 \pm 0.65(92)^{d}$ |
| 76b | $4.87 \pm 0.16(38)$ | $6.61 \pm 0.63(97)^{d}$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ is expressed as a mean $\pm$ SEM, with \% efficacy relative to 5 in parentheses. $n \geq 4$ unless otherwise indincated. ${ }^{b}$ Field stimulated Landrace pig detrusor strips. ${ }^{c}$ Spontaneous Landrace pig detrusor strips. ${ }^{d} n=2 .{ }^{e} n$ $=3$.
increased activity, as in compounds 9, 35, and 66. Larger substitutions next to the carbonyl resulted in a loss of activity.

FSLPD. To further investigate the potassium channel opening activity of these substituted tricyclic DHPs, we examined several for their ability to relax field-stimulated pig detrusor strips (see Table 4). Compounds that were fully efficacious in FLIPR (efficacy of $>60 \%$ ) were also fully efficacious in FSLPD.

Compounds that showed partial agonist activity in FLIPR were also evaluated in FSLPD. Interestingly, racemic compounds such as 21, 23, and 54 that were partial agonists in FLIPR were full agonists in FSLPD. For example, the racemic trans ethyl derivative, compound 21, which had only $40 \%$ efficacy in FLIPR, was a full agonist in FSLPD (88\%). The more potent enantiomer of 21, compound 21b, did display a reduced efficacy in FSLPD relative to $\mathbf{2 1}$ with $77 \%$ efficacy. Single enantiomers, compounds 23b, 29b, 31b, 39b, 71a, 74b, and 76b that had reduced activity in FLIPR (45\%, 68\%, 59\%, $39 \%, 40 \%, 57 \%$, and $37 \%$ ) also had reduced efficacy in FSLPD $(30 \%, 51 \%, 35 \%, 66 \%, 54 \%, 41 \%$, and $38 \%$ ).

Plots were generated in order to evaluate if potency in FLIPR predicts potency in FSLPD. ${ }^{29}$ As can be seen from Figure 4, the predictive value was best for compounds that were full agonists in FLIPR (plot B, $r^{2}=0.84$ ) and worst for partial


Figure 4. Comparison of potencies between FLIPR and FSLDP.


Figure 5. Comparison of FSLPD with SLPD for 23b.
agonists (plot $\mathrm{C}, r^{2}=0.27$ ). Overall, compounds that were weaker in FLIPR $\left(\mathrm{pEC}_{50} \approx 6\right)$ had similar potencies in FSLPD whereas more potent compounds ( $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}>7$ ) in FLIPR were over an order of magnitude less potent in FSLPD.

SLPD. Several of the compounds were evaluated for their $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel opening activity in SLPD (see Table 4). As seen with other $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers, ${ }^{16,17,26}$ all of the compounds displayed greater potency to relax spontaneous bladder contractions than to inhibit those elicited by electrical stimulation. Interestingly, all of the compounds, including the ones that were partial agonists in FSLPD, were fully efficacious in SLPD.

Functional selectivity can be achieved by increasing the separation between the potencies for the desired and undesired responses. Functional selectivity can also be achieved by reducing or eliminating the efficacy of the undesired response. The partial agonists described herein are more potent for relaxing SLPD over FSLPD and have reduced efficacy in the FSLPD model.


Figure 6. Compound 23b: myogenic vs neurogenic activity in vivo.

Table 5. Compound 23b in Neurogenic vs Myogenic Rat Models ${ }^{a}$

| concn <br> $(\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg})$ | neurogenic model |  |  | myogenic model |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\% \Delta \mathrm{AUC}$ | $\% \Delta \mathrm{MAP}$ |  | $\% \Delta \mathrm{AUC}$ | $\% \Delta \mathrm{MAP}$ |
| 0.03 |  |  | $-4.2(14.3)^{b}$ | $-5.1(1.2)^{b}$ |  |
| 0.1 |  |  | $-19.1(11.4)$ | $-9.6(1.3)$ |  |
| 0.3 | $-16(7.7)^{b}$ | $-22.6(4.0)^{b}$ | $-46.7(10.4)$ | $-23.6(2.7)$ |  |
| 1 | $-31.9(4.1)$ | $-43.8(1.9)$ | $-93.1(6.1)$ | $-40.7(1.6)$ |  |
| 3 | $-37.4(4)^{c}$ | $-49.7(2.4)^{c}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Data expressed as \% reduction in the area under the curve (AUC) of bladder contractions. Standard error of the mean (SEM) is in parentheses. Number of observations $n$ is 7 unless otherwise indincated. ${ }^{b} n=3$. ${ }^{c} n=$ 4.

As can be seen in Figure 5, compound 23b was fully efficacious in SLPD but only partially efficacious in FSLPD. This agent has a unique pharmacological profile compared to prototypical $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers such as $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, which are all fully efficacious in both SLPD and FSLPD. This agent is also different from tolterodine, a muscarinic antagonist used clinically to treat OAB that acts via neurogenic mechanisms. Tolterodine is partially efficacious for relaxing FSLPD ( $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ $=7.1 \pm 0.17$ with $50 \%$ efficacy) but is inactive at relaxing SLPD. ${ }^{26}$ Spontaneous contractions in detrusor tissue are believed to be myogenic in origin. ${ }^{2}$ We hypothesized that a compound such as 23b might be more selective in vivo for relaxing myogenic contractions over neurogenic contractions.

In Vivo. Compound 23b was evaluated in the partial outlet obstructed rat and in the urethral ligated rat, using models of spontaneous myogenic bladder contractions and volume-induced reflex neurogenic bladder contractions, respectively. ${ }^{30}$ The results are displayed in Figure 6 and Table 5. As can be seen from the plots, compound 23b was completely effective at eliminating myogenic bladder contractions, whereas it was only

partially efficacious against neurogenically mediated contractions at a dose 3 -fold higher. At the doses tested, these effects on myogenic and neurogenic contractile activity parallel what was observed in vitro.

Blood pressure effects were monitored simultaneously in the two models and were similar in both assays. Over the dose range examined, compound 23b reduced MAP in parallel with effects on inhibiting reflex neurogenic contractions. A maximum reduction in MAP of $49.7 \%$ was observed at $3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv. Solubility limitations prevented the exploration of higher doses to assess whether the MAP effects plateaued at this level. Nonetheless, the magnitude of the MAP effects observed at the approximate $\mathrm{ED}_{50}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg})$ for inhibition of spontaneous bladder contractions was similar to that seen with ZD6169 and cromakalim. ${ }^{30}$

Similar to compound 23b, other $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ATP}}$ channel openers tested in vivo also relaxed myogenic contractions more potently than neurogenic contractions. ${ }^{30}$ But unlike the other $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ATP }}$ channel openers, the efficacy of compound 23b plateaus at about $40 \%$ in the neurogenic model. The in vivo profile of compound 23b parallels what was observed in the in vitro functional models. Compound 23b fully relaxed SLPD but only partially relaxed FSLPD. As previously reported, tolterodine in the above in vivo models partially relaxed neurogenic contractions but had no effect on myogenic contractions. ${ }^{30}$ Interestingly, the in vivo results for tolterodine also parallel the in vitro results, wherein tolterodine was $50 \%$ efficacious in relaxing FSLPD but was essentially inactive in suppressing contraction in SLPD. ${ }^{26}$

The in vivo myogenic and neurogenic models may represent unstable nonvoiding bladder contractions of myogenic origin and normal bladder function, respectively. The lack of activity of a muscarinic antagonist such as tolterodine in the myogenic models supports the hypothesis that these spontaneous bladder contractions are of smooth muscle origin. Normal bladder function is largely driven by parasympathetic neurons. The efficacy seen with tolterodine in the neurogenic model indicates that parasympathetic activity contributes in part to these reflex contractions.

Achieving high selectivity for relaxing myogenic contractions over neurogenic contractions in vivo may represent an approach to inhibiting disease-related involuntary bladder contractions without disrupting normal voiding function. Currently, OAB is clinically treated with muscarinic antagonists such as tolterodine. Muscarinic antagonists reduce the efferent activity to the bladder, which is innervated mainly by parasympathetic neurons. Because these agents reduce the overall efferent activity to the bladder, they may inhibit to some extent the normal micturition reflexes of the bladder at higher doses. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {AtP }}$ channel openers such as 23b, with reduced efficacy for neurogenically mediated bladder contractions, represent a novel approach toward identifying agents with potential to more selectively inhibit diseaserelated bladder contractions of myogenic origin. It remains to be determined if further optimization of this pharmacological profile can yield agents with acceptable selectivity versus cardiovascular effects.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that substitution is allowed on the flanking rings of compounds 6 and 65 . In general, smaller groups such as Me in a cis fashion on the carbon adjacent to the NH of the DHP provided a boost in potency in FLIPR and FSLPD. Separation of enantiomers of derivatives of compound $\mathbf{6}$ revealed that $(R)$-stereochemistry at the benzylic position was the more potent enantiomer in the cis isomers,
whereas ( $S$ )-stereochemistry at the benzylic position was, in general, the more potent enantiomer in the trans isomers. Partial agonist activity in FLIPR and FSLPD was observed with several Et and Pr trans substituted compounds. These agents were potent and full agonists at relaxing SLPD and thus were highly selective for spontaneous (SLPD) versus field-stimulated (FSLPD) bladder strips. In vivo, compound 23b fully inhibited myogenic contractions but only partially inhibited neurogenic contractions. This unique pharmacological profile of selectively relaxing myogenic contractions over neurogenic contractions may be useful as an alternative treatment for OAB.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. General. Proton NMR spectra were obtained on a General Electric QE 300 or QZ 300 MHz instrument with chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) reported relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Tricyclic DHPs for which melting points are not reported were isolated as amorphous solids. Elemental analyses were performed by Robertson Microlit Laboratories. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using 250 mm silica gel 60 glass-backed plates with F254 as indicator. HPLC separations were done using a Gilson system with a 215 liquid handler and a UV detector. Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 541 polarimeter. X-ray crystal structures were obtained on a Bruker SMART system. All single enantiomers described herein were enantiomerically pure to the level of detection of chiral HPLC.

Synthesis of Carbonyl Monomers: 2-Methyl-2H-pyran-3,5$(\mathbf{4 H}, \mathbf{6 H})$-dione (c2). A mechanically stirred suspension of NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 160 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was treated with a solution of 3-butyn-2-ol ( 21 g , 0.30 mol ) in THF ( 35 mL ) over 30 min , stirred for 35 min , treated with a solution of methyl bromoacetate in THF ( 50 mL ) over 10 min , stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred at room temperature for 16 h , and treated with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was isolated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times$ 100 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated, and distilled under vacuum $\left(75-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ at 15 mmHg ) to provide methyl [(1-methyl-2-propynyl)oxy]acetate ( 20 g ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.51(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. This product ( 20 g , $0.14 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4.6 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.014 \mathrm{~mol})$, treated with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, heated to reflux for 1 h , concentrated to approximately 100 mL total volume, treated with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times$ $100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extractions were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated to provide methyl (1-methyl-2-oxopropoxy)acetate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. A mechanically stirred solution of $\mathrm{KO}-t-\mathrm{Bu}$ in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 203 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was treated with anhydrous ether $(125 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with the product from above ( $15.5 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 55 mL ) over 2 min , stirred for 10 min , and then treated with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(240 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc/ $/ \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200$ : 200:1:1) to provide c2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2,2-Dimethyl-2H-pyran-3,5(4H,6H)-dione (c3). 2-Methyl-3-butyn-2-ol was processed as described for compound $\mathbf{c} 2$ to provide the crude product, which was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stored at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The resulting crystals were collected by filtration, washed with a cold solution of $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexane, and dried to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-Ethylpyran-3,5-dione (c4). 1-Pentyn-3-ol was processed as described for compound $\mathbf{c 2}$ to provide the title compound, which
was not chromatographed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO) $\delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2,2-Diethylpyran-3,5-dione (c5). 3-Ethyl-1-pentyn-3-ol was processed as described for compound c2 to provide the title compound, which was not chromatographed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO) $\delta$ $0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

6-Oxaspiro[4.5]decane-8,10-dione (c6). 1-Ethynylcyclopentanol was processed as described for compound $\mathbf{c 2}$ to provide the title compound, which was not chromatographed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.60-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.5(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of Enamine Monomers: 5-Amino-6-methyl-2H-pyran-3(6H)-one (e2). Compound c2 (3.0 g, 23 mmol$)$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, treated with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (5 drops), heated to reflux for 3 h , and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography, eluting with $10: 1,5: 1$, and then $2: 1$ hexane/EtOAc to provide 5-ethoxy-2-methyl-2H-pyran-3( $6 H$ )-one $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.38(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-4.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}))$ as the faster moving isomer and 5-ethoxy-6-methyl-2H-pyran-3( $6 H$ )-one ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $1.39(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}))$ as the slower moving isomer in a 2:1 ratio. The slower moving 5-ethoxy-6-methyl-2H-pyran-3(6H)one was treated with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ saturated EtOH $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, stirred at room temperature for 16 h , and concentrated to provide the title compound $(0.52 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-Amino-6,6-dimethyl-6H-pyran-3-one (e3). Compound $\mathbf{c} 3$ was treated as described for compound $\mathbf{e} 2$ to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

5-Amino-6-ethyl-6H-pyran-3-one (e4). Compound c4 was treated as described for compound $\mathbf{e} 2$ to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.99,3.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.97 (bs, 2H).

3-Aminopent-2-enoic Acid Methyl Ester (e6). A mixture of methyl 3-oxopentanoate ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in EtOH $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h in a sealed tube. After cooling, the mixture was concentrated to dryness to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.17 (q, $J=7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl (2E)-3-Amino-4-methyl-2-pentenoate (e7). Ethyl 4-meth-yl-3-oxopentanoate was treated as described for compound e6 to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26$ $(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$

3-Aminohex-2-enoic Acid Methyl Ester (e8). Methyl butyryl acetate was treated as described for compound e6 to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.80$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

3-Aminohept-2-enoic Acid Ethyl Ester (e9). 3-Oxoenanthic acid ethyl ester was treated as described for compound e6 to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

3-Amino-5-methoxypent-2-enoic Acid Methyl Ester (e10). 5-Methoxy-3-oxovaleric acid methyl ester was treated as described for compound e6 to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 2.38(\mathrm{t}, J=5.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=5.42 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{DCI} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 160(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

3-Amino-5-methylhex-2-enoic Acid Methyl Ester (e11). 5-Meth-yl-3-oxohexanoic acid methyl ester ${ }^{31}$ was treated as described for compound e6 to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.52$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

3-Aminooct-2-enoic Acid Methyl Ester (e12). 3-Oxooctanoic acid methyl ester ${ }^{32}$ was treated as described for compound e6 to
provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.78$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{DCI} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 172(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

3-Amino-6-methylhept-2-enoic Acid Methyl Ester (e13). 6-Methyl-3-oxoheptanoic acid methyl ester ${ }^{33}$ was treated as described for compound e6 to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-$ $1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

3-Aminonon-2-enoic Acid Methyl Ester (e14). 3-Oxononanoic acid methyl ester ${ }^{32}$ was treated as described for compound e6 to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.78$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{DCI} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 186(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

3-Amino-4-phenylbut-2-enoic Acid Ethyl Ester (e15). 3-Oxo-4-phenylbutyric acid ethyl ester ${ }^{34}$ was treated as described for compound $\mathbf{e} 6$ to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

3-Amino-4-ethylcyclopent-2-enone (e16). A solution of diisopropylethylamine ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated over 5 min with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, 21 $\mathrm{mL}, 52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), stirred for 30 min , treated with a solution of 3-ethoxy-2-cyclopenten-1-one ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) dropwise, stirred for 45 min , treated with a solution of EtI (4.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and HMPA ( $14 \mathrm{~mL}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ), and stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, treated with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, refluxed for 1 h , cooled, concentrated to 50 mL , treated with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 100 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layers were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated to provide 4 g of crude product. The crude product was chromatographed via multiple injections on a YMC column, eluting with $3 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane to provide $1.09 \mathrm{~g}(18 \%)$ of 3-ethoxy-5-ethylcyclopent-2-enone $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $17.63,2.71,1.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.55$, $7.21,1.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}))$ as the less polar isomer and $1.13 \mathrm{~g}(18 \%)$ of 3-ethoxy-4-ethylcyclopent-2-enone ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.80,2.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.97,7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.71-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}))$ as the more polar isomer. A solution of 3-ethoxy-4-ethylcyclopent-2-enone (1.13 $\mathrm{g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $28 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{OH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h , cooled, and concentrated to dryness to provide $0.9 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$ of the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-$ $1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.12,2.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.29,7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 126(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $124(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$.

General Methods for Synthesizing Final Products. Method A: Methyl 4-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-2,6-dimethyl-5-oxo-4,5,6,8-tetrahydro-1H-pyrano[3,4-b]pyridine-3-carboxylate. Carbonyl c2 ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 2.4 g , $12 \mathrm{mmol})$, and methyl 3-aminocrotonate (e5) (1.2 g, 10 mmol$)$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 16 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered and the filtercake washed with EtOH and dried to provide the title compound. The filtrate was concentrated and chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with a gradient of $1 \%, 2 \%$, and $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to provide additional quantities of the title compound: 1.03 g total ( $25 \%$ yield). MS (ESI+) $m / z 410(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 408(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$.

Method B: cis-10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (70) and trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (71). A mixture of enamine
e4 ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-bromo-3-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 12$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH (16 mL ) was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 20 h , cooled to room temperature, treated with a 1 M solution of HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, heated to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min , cooled to room temperature, and concentrated to dryness. The residue, which contained a mixture of cis and trans isomers, was purified by chromatography using a gradient of $2 \%, 3 \%$, and $4 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to provide $71(1.3 \mathrm{~g}$, $33 \%$, less polar; $\mathrm{mp} 244-245{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.02(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $420(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $70(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$, more polar; mp 221-226 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (ddd, $J=8.39,5.00,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z. 420 $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.

Method C: (cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (7) and (trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)dione (8). The product from method $\mathrm{A}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with pyridine $(0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridinium tribromide $(0.97 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.0 mmol ), stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and treated with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated, heated neat to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 15 min , and cooled to room temperature. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $40: 38: 1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc/ $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to provide the trans isomer (compound 8) as the faster moving isomer and the cis isomer (compound 7) as the slower moving isomer. Both isomers were crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Compound 7: mp 234$239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.46 (dd, 1H), 10.45 (bs, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z 394 (M + $\mathrm{H})^{+}, 411\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $392(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. Compound 8: mp $>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.48 (dd, 1H), 10.42 (bs, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z 394 (M + H) ${ }^{+}, 411$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $392(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{FBr} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-2-isopropyl-5-oxo-4,5,6,8-tetrahy-dro-1H-pyrano[3,4-b]pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid Ethyl Ester. Pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35}$ (c1) ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and enamine $\mathbf{e} 7(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method $\mathrm{A}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%)$ provided the title compound.

Method D: 9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3,3-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4-b] pyrano[4,3-e] pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (19). The compound from above (4-(3-bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-2-isopropyl-5-oxo-4,5,6,8-tetrahydro-1 H -pyrano[3,4-b]pyridine-3carboxylic acid ethyl ester) $(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, treated with NBS $(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, stirred at RT for 30 min , refluxed for 1.5 h , concentrated to dryness, heated neat to $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , cooled, chromatographed ( $2 \%$ and then $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and crystallized from $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to provide 19 ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ ). mp $219-221{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) m/z, $408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 425\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $\mathrm{m} / z 406(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (7a) and (-)-cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (7b). The enantiomers of compound $7(430 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a

Chiralcel OJ column, eluting with $3: 1$ hexane/EtOH to provide 7b (less polar; mp 267-277 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ -232 ( c 0.26, acetone)) and 7a (more polar; mp 261-274 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+274$ (c 0.28, acetone)).
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (8a) and (-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-methyl-5,9-dihy-dro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). The enantiomers of compound $\mathbf{8}(330 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a $(R, R)$-Whelk-O1 column, eluting with $3: 2: 1$ hexane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to provide 8b (less polar; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-78$ (c 0.27, acetone)) and 8a (more polar; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+88$ (c 0.27, acetone)).

9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (9). Carbonyl $\mathbf{c 3}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.88 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and methyl 3-aminocrotonate ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via methods A and C provided the title compound ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$ ): mp $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.55$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{APCI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (9a) and (-)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro$3 H, 4 H-2,6-d i o x a-4$-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (9b). The enantiomers of compound $9(500 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 6:4 hexane/EtOH to provide 9a (less polar; mp $246-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+199(c 0.28$, acetone) ) and 9b (more polar; mp 242-250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-235(c 0.24$, acetone) $)$, each of which were individually rechromatographed with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (10) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (11). Carbonyl c4 (0.50 $\mathrm{g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.88 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methyl 3-aminocrotonate $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A ( $42 \%$ yield) and method C provided 11 as the less polar product ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%$ ) and 10 as the more polar product ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ ). Compound 11: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.59-1.72 (m, 2H), $3.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.27$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.27,1.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.10,1.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{mp} 227-$ $233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) m / z 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 425\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI-) m/z. $406(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. Compound 10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.12,3.73$, $1.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.27$, $1.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42-7.47 (m, 1H), $10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{mp} 227-229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; MS (ESI+) $m / z 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 425\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $406(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (10a) and (-)-cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-7-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (10b). The enantiomers of compound $10(80 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 4 \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{hexane}$ to provide $10 \mathbf{a}$ (less polar, 35 mg ; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \cdot 0.25\right.$ acetone) C , H , $\mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+235$ (c 0.27, acetone)) and 10b (more polar, 30 mg ; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-235$ (c 0.18, acetone)).
(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3Hfuro $3,4-b]$ pyrano $[4,3-e]$ pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (12) and (trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3Hfuro $3,4-b]$ pyrano $[4,3-e]$ pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (13). Enamine e2 $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and methyl acetoacetate $(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A ( $0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ), heating for 60 h instead of 16 h , and method C , but
eluting with $40: 38: 1: 1,30: 38: 1: 1$, and then $20: 38: 1: 1$ hexanes/ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, provided $13(55 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%)$ (less polar, crystallized from EtOAc/hexanes; mp 240-243 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.32$ (bs, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z. $394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 411\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $392(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$ ) and $12(140 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%)$ (more polar, crystallized from EtOAc/ hexane; mp 260-263 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.12(\mathrm{bs}$, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z $394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 411\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 392(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ ).

9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (14). Enamine e3 ( $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.89 g , $4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl acetoacetate $(0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, heating for 60 h instead of $16 \mathrm{~h}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%)$, and method C , but purified with $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $\mathbf{1 4}$ (recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane, $125 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.61,1.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.48,5.09,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; MS (ESI+) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 406(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (15) and (trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (16). Enamine e4 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.94 g , $4.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and methyl acetoacetate $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A ( $0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ) and method C provided 16 (less polar, 87 mg , $15 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-$ $1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.51,2.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.62 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.34$ (s, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z $408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 406(\mathrm{M}$ $-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \cdot 0.5\right.$ acetone) $\left.\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ ) and compound 15 (more polar, 120 mg , rechromatographed using $2: 1$ hexane/ acetone; mp 236-240 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.28,1.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (ddd, $J=8.48,4.92,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.61,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $406(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3Hfuro $[3,4-b]$ pyrano $[4,3-e]$ pyridine $-1,8(4 H, 7 H)$-dione (17) and (trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3Hfuro $3,4-b]$ pyrano $[4,3-e]$ pyridine- $1,8(4 H, 7 H)$-dione (18). 5-Amino$6 H$-pyran-3-one ${ }^{35}$ (e1) ( $0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methyl 3-oxopentanoate ( 1.0 g , $7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method $\mathrm{A}(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \%)$ and method C, eluting with 40:38:1:1, 30:38:1:1, and then 20:38:1:1 hexanes/EtOAc/ $/ \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, provided compound 18 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ ) (less polar; mp $>260$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.44(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 411\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 392(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ ) and compound $17(77 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%)$ (more polar; mp 213-216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (dd, 1H), $10.48(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 411(\mathrm{M}$ $\left.+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 392(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right)$ C, H, N).
(+)-(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-5,9-dihydro$3 H$-furo $3,4-b]$ pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (17a) and (-)-(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (17b). The
enantiomers of compound $17(470 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 17a ( 95 mg , less polar, rechromatographed with $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; mp 230-233 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+204$ (c 0.18, acetone)) and $\mathbf{1 7 b}(65 \mathrm{mg}$, more polar, crystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 230-233 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-169$ (c 0.26, acetone)).
(+)-(trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-5,9-dihydro$3 H$-furo $3,4-b]$ pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (18a) and (-)-(trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-5,9-dihydro$\mathbf{3 H}$-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (18b). The enantiomers of compound $18(250 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $3: 7 \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{hexane}$ to provide 18a (less polar, crystallized from $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, 25 mg ; mp $>260$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+282(c 0.34$, DMSO) $)$ and $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ (more polar, crystallized from EtOAc/hexane, 25 mg ; mp $>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-285$ (c 0.21, DMSO)).
(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo-[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (20) and (trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4-b]-pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (21). Enamine e8 (0.083 $\mathrm{g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(0.116 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) and method D provided after chromatography (40: $38: 1: 1,30: 38: 1: 1$, and then $20: 38: 1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc/ $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) 21 as the less polar isomer (crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; mp 161$162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.92-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / z 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 425\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z$ $406(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and 20 as the more polar isomer (crystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 246-248 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.81(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-$ $2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.43(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+ $m / z 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 425\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z. $406(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(+)-(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3Hfuro $[3,4-b]$ pyrano $[4,3-e]$ pyridine- $1,8(4 H, 7 H)$-dione (20a) and (-)-(cis)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3Hfuro [3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (20b). The enantiomers of compound $20(500 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a $(R, R)$-Whelk-O1 column, eluting with $9: 4: 2$ hexane/ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to provide 20b (less polar, crystallized from EtOH; mp 131$136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (foams); Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} \mathrm{EtOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-163$ (c 1.1, acetone)) and 20a (more polar, crystallized from EtOH; mp $131-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (foams); Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} \mathrm{EtOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ +123 (c 0.61, acetone)).
(R)-(+)-(trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihy-dro-3H-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (21a) and (S)-(-)-(trans)-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-di-hydro-3H-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (21b). The enantiomers of compound $21(500 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 9 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 21a (less polar; mp 249-251 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+229$ (c 1.0, acetone)) and 21b (more polar, crystallized from EtOH ; mp $250-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-229(c 0.43$, acetone) ).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo-[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (22) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (23). Enamine e9 (0.42 $\mathrm{g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A ( 0.71 $\mathrm{g}, 71 \%$ ) and method D provided, after chromatography using hexane/EtOAc/ $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40: 38: 1: 1,30: 38: 1: 1$, and then 20:38: $1: 1), 23(58 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%)$ (less polar, rechromatographed using $2 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rechromatograhed using hexanes:acetone (3:1), recrystallized from acetone/hexanes; mp $206-208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.86-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 439\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 420$ $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $22(46 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%$, more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp $219-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-$ $1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 439(\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $420(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} \cdot\right.$ $\left.\left.0.25 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(+)-cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (22a) (-)-cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H-furo[3,4$b]$ pyrano $[4,3-e]$ pyridine- $\mathbf{1 , 8}(\mathbf{4 H}, \mathbf{7 H})$-dione (22b). The enantiomers of compound $22(620 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a $(R, R)$-WhelkO1 column, eluting with $9: 4: 2$ hexane/ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to provide 22b (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 219-230 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{EtOAc} \cdot 0.1\right.$ hexane) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ -108 (c 0.15, acetone)) and 22a (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 215-217 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{EtOAc} \cdot\right.$ 0.3 hexane) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ).
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro$\mathbf{3 H}$-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e]pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (23a). Compound $22 \mathrm{a}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken up in a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight in a sealed tube, cooled, concentrated, and chromatographed ( $2: 1$ hexane/acetone) to provide 23a ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ) (less polar, recrystallized from acetone/hexane; mp $215-217{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+175$ (c 0.03, acetone) and recovered 22a (more polar).
(-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro$\mathbf{3 H}$-furo[3,4-b]pyrano[4,3-e] pyridine-1,8(4H,7H)-dione (23b). Compound $22 \mathbf{b}(0.44 \mathrm{~g})$ was taken up in a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{ON}$ in a sealed tube, cooled, concentrated, and chromatographed ( $2: 1$ hexane/acetone) to provide 23b ( 0.20 $\mathrm{g}, 45 \%$ ) (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 216$217{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-196$ (c 0.03, acetone)) and recovered 22b (more polar).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methoxymethyl-5,9-dihydro$3 H, 4 H$-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (24) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methoxymethyl-5,9-di-hydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (25). Enamine e10 ( $0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ via method $\mathrm{A}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%)$ and via method C , eluting with $2 \%, 4 \%$, and $6 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided 25 (0.12 g 9\%) (less polar, recrystallized from hexane/EtOAc; mp 213-215 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.53,4.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.53,2.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.24,2.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 424$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $422(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$ and $24(0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ (more polar, recrystallized from hexane/EtOAc; mp $234-236{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.35$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78$, $2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 424(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methoxymethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (25a) (-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methoxymethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (25b). The enantiomers of compound 25 ( 490 mg ) were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 4 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 25a (less polar, recrystallized from EtOH ; mp $224-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+183(c 0.27$, acetone)) and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ (more polar, recrystallized from EtOH ; mp 224$225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-181$ (c 0.28, acetone)).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-isopropyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (26) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-isopropyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (27). Enamine e11 ( $0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing using $5: 1,3: 1$, and $2: 1$ hexane/acetone (1.7 $\mathrm{g}, 73 \%$ ), and method C , chromatographing with 80:38:1:1, 40:38: 1:1, 27:38:1:1, and 20:38:1:1 hexane/EtOAc/ $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, provided 27 ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$, less polar; mp $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-$ $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.35$ (s, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z $422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 420(\mathrm{M}$ $-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $26(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$, more polar; mp $169-171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ (s, 1H), $5.01-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (ddd, $J=8.65,5.26,2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.61,1.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $420(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-butyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (28) and trans-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-butyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (29). Enamine e12 (0.54 $\mathrm{g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A $(0.92$ $\mathrm{g}, 78 \%)$ and method C, eluting with 40:38:1:1 and 20:38:1:1 hexane/ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, provided $29(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%)$ (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 228-230 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-$ $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.14,3.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) m/z $436(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $434(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} 0.1\right.$ hexane) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) and 28 (more polar, recrystallized from $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane; mp 192-194 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6.78$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ (ddd, $J=8.31,4.92,1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.61,1.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+)$ $m / z 436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 434(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(+)-trans-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-butyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (29a) (-)-trans-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-butyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (29b). The enantiomers of compound 29 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 1:4 EtOH:hexane to provide 29a (less polar, rechromatographed using 2:1 hexane/acetone; MS (ESI-) m/z 434 (M -$\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+172(c 0.56$, acetone $\left.)\right)$ and 29b (more polar, rechromatographed using 2:1 hexane/acetone; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / z 436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 453\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $434(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-159(c$ 0.43, acetone)).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-isobutyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (30) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-isobutyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (31). Enamine e13 ( $0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.70 g , $3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A $(0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%)$ and method C provided 31 (less polar; mp 136$140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) $m / z 436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 453\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $434(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) and 30 (more polar; mp 141$153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-$
$1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.48,4.92$, $2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.61,2.20$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 453(\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $434(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}{ }^{\bullet}\right.$ $\left.\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-isobutyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (31a) (-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-isobutyl-5,9-dihydro$3 H, 4 H-2,6-d i o x a-4$-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (31b). The enantiomers of compound 31 were separated using a Chiralpak AD column, eluting with $1: 9 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 31a (less polar; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+191$ (c 1.0, acetone) and 31b (more polar; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-$ 180 (c 0.6, acetone)).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-pentyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (32) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-pentyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (33). Enamine e14 ( $0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.70 g , $3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c} 1(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A $(0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ and method C, chromatographing with 40:38:1:1 and 20:38:1:1 hexane/EtOAc/HCOOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, provided $33(0.26 \mathrm{~g}$, 30\%) (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 119-129 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.14,3.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.36-10.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 450(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 467\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $448(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $32(0.12 \mathrm{~g}$, $14 \%$, more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 220-223 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.31$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.55(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 450(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 467\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $448(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-phenyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (34). Enamine e15 ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.93 $\mathrm{g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method $\mathrm{A}(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%)$ and method C , chromatographing with $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, recrystallizing with $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, rechromatographing with $2: 1$ hexane/acetone, recrystallizing with EtOAc/ hexane, provided the title compound $(75 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 167-170$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.52$ (m, 4H), $10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / z 456(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 473(\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $454(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} 0.5\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-7,7-dimethyl-5,9-di-hydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (35) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-7,7-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta-[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (36). Enamine e8 (1 g, 6.4 mmol ), carbonyl c3 (1.4 g, 10 mmol ), and 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 8.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method $\mathrm{A}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ and method C provided, after crystallization from acetone, compound 35 ( 0.15 g , $5 \%$ ) (more polar isomer by TLC, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $274-277{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.78(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.57(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / z .436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $434(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. The acetone filtrate was concentrated and chromatographed to provide $36(0.60 \mathrm{~g}$, $21 \%$ ): less polar isomer, crystallized from EtOAc; mp 239-242 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,3.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.29$
(m, 2H), $7.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $434(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-7,7-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (36a) and (-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-7,7-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (36b). The enantiomers of compound $36(560 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $14: 86 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexanes to provide $\mathbf{3 6 a}$ (less polar, crystallized from EtOAc, 0.15 g ; mp 257-259 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+243(c 0.3$, acetone)) and 36b (more polar, crystallized from EtOAc, $0.16 \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{mp} 270-273{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-248(c 0.4$, acetone $\left.)\right)$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-5,9-di-hydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (37) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta-[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (38). Enamine e3 (0.60 g, 4.3 mmol ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethyl butyrylacetate $(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method $\mathrm{A}(0.64 \mathrm{~g}$ of intermediate obtained after chromatography using $5: 1$ hexane/acetone) and method $C$ provided, after chromatography using $4: 1$ and $1: 1$ hexane/ acetone, compound $38\left(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%\right.$, less polar; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-$ $1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,3.05$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.56,5.00,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.48$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.61,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+)$ $m / z 436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z, $434(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) and $37(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%)$ (more polar, crystallized from EtOAc/hexane; mp 239-246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.85$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.78,3.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z $436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $434(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (38a) and (-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclo-penta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (38b). The enantiomers of compound $38(163 \mathrm{mg})$ were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $12: 88 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexanes to provide $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ (less polar, rechromatographed $2: 1$ hexane/acetone, 70 mg ; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+198$ (c 0.2, acetone)) and 38b (more polar, rechromatographed 2:1 hexane/acetone, 60 mg ; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-206$ (c 0.4, acetone)).
trans-9-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (39). Enamine e9 (1.1 g, 6.3 mmol$)$, 3-chloro-4-fluorobenzaldehyde (1.1 g, $6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c} 1(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing with $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ), and method C , chromatographing with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $39(0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%)$ as the less polar isomer: mp 199-201 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.97,3.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.56,4.83,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.12,2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $378(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 395\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $376(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{4} \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-9-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (39a) and (-)-trans-9-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihy-dro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (39b). The enantiomers of compound 39 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 12:88 EtOH/hexane to provide 39a (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 226-229 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+221(c 0.58$, acetone $\left.)\right)$ and 39b
(more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 226-229 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-219(c 0.61$, acetone $)$ ).
cis-9-(4-Fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (40) and trans-9-(4-Fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (41). Enamine e9 (1.1 $\mathrm{g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-iodo-4-methylbenzaldehyde ${ }^{36}(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35}$ c1 ( $0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via method A, chromatographing with $4: 1$ and $2: 1$ hexane/acetone ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ), and method C , chromatographing with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $41(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$, less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 238-240 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.14,3.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=8.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.56$, $5.17,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.10,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) m / z 470(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 487\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-)$ $m / z 468(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and 40 (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $246-247{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-$ $1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (ddd, $J=8.48,5.26,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.10,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} .470(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 487(\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $468(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right.$ $0.5 \mathrm{EtOAc}) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
(+)-cis-9-(4-Fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (40a) and (-)-cis-9-(4-Fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (40b). The enantiomers of compound 40 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 40 a (less polar; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+168(c 0.29$, acetone) ) and 40b (more polar; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-176(c$ 0.33 , acetone)).
(+)-trans-9-(4-Fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (41a). Compound 40a ( 200 mg ) was taken up in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(15$ mL ), heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{ON}$, cooled, concentrated, and chromatographed $\left(2 \%\right.$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to provide 41 a as the less polar isomer (Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+165$ (c 0.27, acetone)) and recovered 40a as the more polar isomer.
(-)-trans-9-(4-Fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro$3 H, 4 H-2,6$-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (41b). Compound 40 b $(150 \mathrm{mg})$ was taken up in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10$ mL ), heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{ON}$, concentrated, and chromatographed ( $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to provide $\mathbf{4 1 b}$ as the less polar isomer (Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-184$ (c 0.53, acetone)) and recovered 40 b as the more polar isomer.
trans-9-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (42). Enamine e9 ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35}$ c1 $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A , chromatographing with $4: 1$ and $2: 1$ hexane/acetone ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ), and method C, chromatographing with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $42(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%)$ as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp $227-230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.71 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.80,3.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.48,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 411(\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $392(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
(+)-trans-9-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (42a) and (-)-trans-9-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (42b). The enantiomers of compound 42 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $12: 88 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 42a (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 253-255 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+198$ (c 0.34, acetone)) and 42b (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 253-255 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-208$ (c 0.38, acetone)).
trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (43). Enamine $\mathbf{e 9}$ ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-chlorobenzaldehyde ${ }^{37}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c} 1(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing with $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc (1.9 g, 76\%), and method C , chromatographing with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $43(0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%)$ as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp $223-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.71 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.97,2.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.48,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.03$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 455(\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 436(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (43a) and (-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihy-dro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (43b). The enantiomers of compound 43 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 43a (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 249-252 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+191(c 0.51$, acetone $\left.)\right)$ and 43b (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 255-256 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}$ D -196 (c 0.36, acetone)).
trans-9-(4-Bromo-3-chlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (44). Enamine e9 ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-bromo-3-chlorobenzaldehyde ${ }^{37}$ ( 0.60 $\mathrm{g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing with $4: 1$ and $2: 1$ hexane/acetone ( 0.84 $\mathrm{g}, 66 \%$ ), and method C, chromatographing with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $44(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%)$ as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp $243-245{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-$ $1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.97,2.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.31$, $2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 455(\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N).
(+)-trans-9-(4-Bromo-3-chlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (44a) and (-)-trans-9-(4-Bromo-3-chlorophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihy-dro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (44b). The enantiomers of compound 44 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 15:85 EtOH/hexane to provide 44a (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 258-260 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+190(c 0.28$, acetone $\left.)\right)$ and 44b (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 258-260 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-207$ (c 0.26, acetone)).
trans-9-(3,4-Dibromophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (45). Enamine e9 ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,4-dibromobenzaldehyde ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing with $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ), and method C, chromatographing with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $45(0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%)$ as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp $240-244{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (s, 1H), $5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.97,2.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.48,2.03$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 482(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 499\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 480(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-9-(3,4-Dibromophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (45a) and (-)-trans-9-(3,4-Dibromophenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (45b). The
enantiomers of compound 45 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 12:88 EtOH/hexane to provide 45a (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 257-260 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+175$ (c 0.44, acetone)) and 45b (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 257-260 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-183(c 0.42$, acetone) ).
trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (46). Enamine e9 (1.1 g, 6.3 mmol$)$, 3-bromo-4-methylbenzaldehyde ${ }^{38}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}$, $6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{1}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing with $4: 1$ and $2: 1$ hexane/acetone $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$, and method C , chromatographing with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided 46 as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp $223-234{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-$ $1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.14,3.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.80,2.03$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 418(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 435\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 416(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihydro$3 H, 4 H$-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (46a) and (-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-3-propyl-5,9-dihy-dro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (46b). The enantiomers of compound 46 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 1:9 EtOH/hexane to provide 46a (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 249-251 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+211(c 0.35$, acetone) ) and 46b (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 252-254 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}-223$ (c 0.54, acetone)).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,6,7,9-tetrahydro$3 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{H}$-furo $[3,4-b] q u i n o l i n e-1,8$-dione (47) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,6,7,9-tetrahydro-3H,4H-furo[3,4-b]-quinoline-1,8-dione (48). Enamine e8 (1.7 g, 11 mmol$)$, 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1,3-cyclohexanedione (c8) $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method $\mathrm{A}(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ and method C , chromatographing with $13: 38: 1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc/ $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, provided $48(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%)$ (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; $\mathrm{mp} 230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.55-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,3.39 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 406(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 423\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 404$ $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $47(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%)$ (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 245-247 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-$ $2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.56,5.00,2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.13$ (s, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z, $406(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 423\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 404(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-8-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,8-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-aza-s-indacene-1,7-dione (49) and trans-8-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,8-dihydro-3H,4H-2,6-dioxa-4-aza-s-indacene-1,7-dione (50). Methyl 3-aminocrotonate (e5) (6.3 g, 55 mmol), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $14 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and ethyl butyrylacetate (c12) ( $10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing with $2: 1$ hexane/EtOAc $(9.6 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%)$, and method D, using 2.1 equiv of NBS and chromatographing with $3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $50\left(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \%\right.$, less polar; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ $0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.28,0.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.62$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.61,3.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.54-7.58 (m, 1H), $10.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) m/z $394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, $411\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $392(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $49\left(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \%\right.$, more polar; $\mathrm{mp}>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.27,0.68$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-$
$7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 411\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 392$ $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-4,5,6,9-tetrahydro-3H-2,7-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (51) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-4,5,6,9-tetrahydro-3H-2,7-dioxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (52). Enamine e17 ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.28 $\mathrm{g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and dihydro-2H-pyran-2,4(3H)-dione ${ }^{39}(\mathbf{c} 7)(0.12 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.1 mmol ) via method A , heated to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 h , and chromatographed with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ provided 52 (less polar, recrystallized from EtOH ; mp $252-255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-$ $2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.46,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,3.39$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI + ) m/z, $408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 425\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z$ $406(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and 51 (more polar, recrystallized from EtOH ; mp $226-229{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-2.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.29,3.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-$ $4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI+) $m / z 408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 425\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 406(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2-oxa-6-thia-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (53) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2-oxa-6-thia-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (54). Enamine e17 ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.40 $\mathrm{g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and thiopyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{40}$ (c9) $(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A , heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 h , and chromatographed with $2 \%$ and $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ provided $54(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%)$ (less polar, recrystallized from $\mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{mp} 236-240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-$ $2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.93,1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.46,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.31,1.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=16.95,1.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.95,3.22 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) m / z 441\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $422(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{EtOH} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $53(0.20$ $\mathrm{g}, 31 \%$ ) (more polar, recrystallized from EtOH ; mp $251-254{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{-}\right) \delta 0.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-$ $1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.94,1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.94,1.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.95,1.70$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.76,3.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $441\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 422(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
(-)-trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-5,9-dihydro-3H,4H-2-oxa-6-thia-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (54b). The enantiomers of compound 54 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 15:85 EtOH/hexane to provide 54b as the less polar enantiomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp $>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ -227 (c 0.43, DMSO).
cis-8-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-1,1-dioxo-1,2,3,4,5,8-hexahydro-6-oxa-1 $\lambda^{6}$-thia-4-aza-s-indacen-7-one (55) and trans-8-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-1,1-dioxo-1,2,3,4,5,8-hexahy-dro-6-oxa-1 $\lambda^{6}$-thia-4-aza-s-indacen-7-one (56). Enamine c6 (1.6 $\mathrm{g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and tetrahydrothiophene-3-oxo-1,1-dioxide ${ }^{41}$ (c10) ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via method A $(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%)$ and method C , chromatographing with $1: 1$ hexane/acetone and rechromatographing with 10:38:1:1 hexane/ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, provided $56(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%$, less polar; mp $>250$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.20(\mathrm{q}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78$, $1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} .414(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}$
(ESI-) m/z $412(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $55\left(0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \%\right.$, more polar; $\mathrm{mp}>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{q}, J=6.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) $m / z 414(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 412(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-8,8-dioxo-4,5,6,7,8,9-hexahydro-3H-2-oxa-8 $\lambda^{6}$-thia-4-azacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalen-1-one (57) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-8,8-dioxo-4,5,6,7,8,9-hexahydro-3H-2-oxa-8 $\lambda^{6}$-thia-4-azacyclopenta-[b]naphthalen-1-one (58). Enamine e17 (0.53 g, 4.0 mmol ), 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and tetrahy-drothiopyran-3-one 1,1-dioxide ${ }^{41}$ (c11) $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A $(0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$ and method C , chromatographing with 1:1 hexane/acetone and rechromatographing with hexane/EtOAc/ $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10: 38: 1: 1$, provided $58(0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \%)$ (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 257-259 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{q}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 428(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 426(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and $57(0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \%)$ (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-$ $2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{q}, J=6.67$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ (ddd, $J=8.48,5.09,2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=8.65$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.44,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(\mathrm{ESI}+) m / z 428(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 426(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dimethyl-2,3,5,9-tetrahy-dro-4H-6-oxa-2,4-diazacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (59) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dimethyl-2,3,5,9-tet-rahydro-4H-6-oxa-2,4-diazacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (60). The product from method A of compounds 17 and 18 was brominated as described in method C but, after concentration, was not heated. Instead, $0.90 \mathrm{~g}(1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of this brominated intermediate was treated with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , cooled, concentrated, and chromatographed ( $2 \%$, $4 \%, 5 \%, 6 \%, 7 \%$, and $10 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to provide $60(0.038$ $\mathrm{g}, 5 \%$ ) (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $248-252^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.05(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z. $407(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z 405 (M -$\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrFN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and 59 (0.045 g, 6\%) (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 242-244 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=6.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (ddd, $J=8.48,5.09,2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $J=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / z 407$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 405(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrFN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ C, H, N).
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-2-methyl-2,3,5,9-tet-rahydro-4H-6-oxa-2,4-diazacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (61) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-2-methyl-2,3,5,9-tetrahydro-4H-6-oxa-2,4-diazacyclopenta $[b]$ naphthalene-1,8-dione (62). The product from method A of compounds 20 and 21 was treated as described in the procedure for compounds 59 and 60 to provide 62 (less polar; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.51(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.32(\mathrm{t}, J=3.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-$ $7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $421(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 419(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrFN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 54.17 ; H, 4.31; N, 6.65. Found: C, 53.75; H, $5.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.28$ ) and 61 (more polar; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.56(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=3.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-$ $7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $421(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $419(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{BrFN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$.
cis-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-2,3,5,9-tetrahydro-4H-6-oxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (63) and trans-9-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3-ethyl-2,3,5,9-tetrahydro-4H-6-oxa-4-azacyclopenta[b]naphthalene-1,8-dione (64). Enamine e16 $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and pyran-3,5-dione ${ }^{35} \mathbf{c 1}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A, chromatographing with $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc $(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$, and method C , chromatographing with $2 \%, 4 \%, 8 \%$, and $10 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided $64(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%)$ (less polar; mp $251-253{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.44$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.97,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-$ $2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.78,1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 406(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) $m / z 404(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\right)$ and 63 (0. 57 $\mathrm{g}, 16 \%$ ) (more polar; mp 218-226 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.97,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (ddd, $J$ $=8.48,4.92,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=6.78,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 406(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $404(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N).

10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3,3-dimethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (66). 5-Amino-6H-pyran-3one $^{35}$ (e1) $(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde (2.6 $\mathrm{g}, 13 \mathrm{mmol})$, and carbonyl $\mathbf{c 3}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A , chromatographing with $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then recrystallizing from MeOH , provided the title compound ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{APCI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{BrF} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3,3,6,6-tetramethyl-9,10-dihy-dro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (67). Carbonyl $\mathbf{c 3}$ was substituted for carbonyl $\mathbf{c 2}$ in the procedure for enamine $\mathbf{e 2}$, and the resulting major isomer, 5-ethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-6H-pyran-3-one, was treated with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$-saturated EtOH to provide 5-amino-2,2-dimethyl-6H-pyran-3-one: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .5$-Amino-2,2-dimethyl-6H-pyran-3-one ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4fluorobenzaldehyde $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and carbonyl $\mathbf{c 3}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A provided the title compound $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $32 \%): \mathrm{mp}>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.94$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{APCI}+) m / z 450(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.

Spiro[5-(3-bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydro-1H,3H-dipyr-ano[3,4-b:4,3-e]pyridine-4,6(7H,9H)-dione-3,1'-cyclopentane] (68). 5-Amino-6 H -pyran-3-one ${ }^{35}$ (e1) $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and carbonyl c6 ( 0.17 g , 1.0 mmol ) via method A , chromatographing with $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided the title compound ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO) $\delta 1.40-1.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-$ $7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.06(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 448(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 465\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 446$ $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-3,3-diethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (69). 5-Amino-6H-pyran-3one ${ }^{35}(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{1})(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}), 3$-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( 0.25 $\mathrm{g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and carbonyl $\mathbf{c 5}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method A , chromatographing with $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, provided the title compound $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%)$ : $\mathrm{mp} 260-$ $262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO) $\delta 0.54(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.01$ (bs, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z $450(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 467\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z. $448(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
(+)-cis-10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (70a) and (-)-cis-10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (70b). The enantiomers of compound 70 (from method B) were separated using a Chiralcel OD column, eluting with 15:85 EtOH/hexane to provide 70b (less polar, rechromatographed with $4: 1,3: 1$, and $2: 1$ hexane/acetone; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-21(c 0.33$, acetone) ) and 70a (more polar, rechromatographed with $4: 1,3: 1$, and $2: 1$ hexane/ acetone; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+16$ (c 0.45 , acetone)).
(+)-trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (71a) and (-)-trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (71b). The enantiomers of compound 71 (from method B) were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $3: 7 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide $\mathbf{7 1 b}$ (less polar, rechromatographed with $2: 1$ hexane/acetone; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-38(c 0.25$, acetone) ) and 71a (more polar, rechromatographed with $2: 1$ hexane/acetone; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{FBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+50.6$ (c 0.49, acetone)).
trans-10-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (72). 3-Chloro-4-fluorobenzaldehyde $(0.88 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method B provided $72(0.47 \mathrm{~g}$, $29 \%$ ) as the less polar isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.01$ (t, $J$ $=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-$ $4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (ddd, $J=8.48$, $4.75,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) $m / z 378(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 376(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{ClFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-10-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (72a) and (-)-trans-10-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (72b). The enantiomers of compound 72 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 1:4 EtOH/hexane to provide 72b (less polar, rechromatographed with $2: 1$ hexane/acetone; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-54(c 0.34$, acetone)) and 72a (more polar, rechromatographed with 2:1 hexane/acetone; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+54(c 0.33$, acetone) $)$.
trans-1-Ethyl-10-(4-fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (73). 4-Fluoro-3-iodobenzaldehyde ${ }^{36}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method B provided $73(0.70 \mathrm{~g}$, $35 \%$ ) as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp 233-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-$ $4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=8.31 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.10,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.94$ (s, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z $470(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) m / z 468(\mathrm{M}$ $-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-1-Ethyl-10-(4-fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (73a) and (-)-trans-1-Ethyl-10-(4-fluoro-3-iodophenyl)-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa9 -azaanthracene-4,5-dione (73b). The enantiomers of compound 73 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 1:4 $\mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 73b (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 212-216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{EtOAc} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-40(c 0.28$, acetone) ) and 73a (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 234-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FINO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ +46 (c 0.32, acetone)).
trans-10-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (74). 3,4-Dichlorobenzaldehyde $(0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method B provided $74(0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%)$ as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp 249-252 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.31,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}$,

1H); MS (ESI+) m/z $394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $392(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-10-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (74a) and (-)-trans-10-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaan-thracene-4,5-dione (74b). The enantiomers of compound 74 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 4 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 74b (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 243-245 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-52(c 0.27$, acetone)) and 74a (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 245$247{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+52(c \quad 0.34$, acetone)).
trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (75). 3-Bromo-4-chlorobenzaldehyde ${ }^{37}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method B provided $75(0.72 \mathrm{~g}$, $38 \%$ ) as the less polar isomer: $\mathrm{mp} 246-249{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.31,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H); MS (ESI+) m/z. $438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $436(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(R)-(+)-trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihy-dro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (75a) and (S)-(-)-trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro$\mathbf{1 H , 8 H}-2,7-d i o x a-9-a z a a n t h r a c e n e-4,5-d i o n e ~(75 b) . ~ T h e ~ e n a n t i o m e r s ~$ of compound 75 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with $1: 4 \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane to provide 75b (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 249-250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-50$ (c 0.45, acetone)) and 75a (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 255-256 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+50(c 0.31$, acetone) ).
trans-10-(4-Bromo-3-chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (76). 4-Bromo-3-chlorobenzaldehyde ${ }^{37}$ ( $0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via method B provided 76 ( 0.36 g , $30 \%$ ) as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp 251-254 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.68,2.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.14,2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI+) m/z $438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; MS (ESI-) m/z $436(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-10-(4-Bromo-3-chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (76a) and (-)-trans-10-(4-Bromo-3-chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (76b). The enantiomers of compound 76 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 1:4 EtOH/hexane to provide 76b (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp 251-253 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-49$ (c 0.48, acetone)) and 76a (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $251-255^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+49$ (c 0.4, acetone)).
trans-10-(3,4-Dibromophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (77). 3,4-Dibromobenzaldehyde ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via method B provided $77(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%)$ as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp 251-254 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.29 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.31,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) m / z 482(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}-) \mathrm{m} / z 480(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-10-(3,4-Dibromophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (77a) and (-)-trans-10-(3,4-Dibromophenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaan-thracene-4,5-dione (77b). The enantiomers of compound 77 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 1:4 EtOH/ hexane to provide 77b (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $255-256{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-42(c$
0.3, acetone)) and 77a (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $256-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec})$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+44(c$ 0.35 , acetone)).
trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (78). 3-Bromo-4methylbenzaldehyde ${ }^{38}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via method B provided $78(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%)$ as the less polar isomer, which was recrystallized from EtOAc: mp 237-238 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.61$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (dd, $J=7.80,1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 418(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI-) m/z. $416(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (78a) and (-)-trans-10-(3-Bromo-4-methylphenyl)-1-ethyl-9,10-dihydro-1H,8H-2,7-dioxa-9-azaanthracene-4,5-dione (78b). The enantiomers of compound 78 were separated using a Chiralpak AS column, eluting with 1:4 EtOH/hexane to provide 78b (less polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $248-250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-51(c 0.3$, acetone)) and 78a (more polar, recrystallized from EtOAc; mp $250-251^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+52(c 0.4$, acetone) $)$.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data on compounds 7, 12, 17, 21a, 75b, and the 3-fluoro-4-iodo derivative of $\mathbf{1 7 b}$; results from elemental analysis. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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